

*Established in 1889, the Ontario Association of Architects (OAA) is the self-regulating body for the province's architecture profession. It governs the practice of architecture and administers the Architects Act in order to serve and protect the public interest.*

James Ross, Manager  
Building Code Policy Development Unit  
Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing  
College Park, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor, 777 Bay Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
M7A 2J3

December 13, 2021

**Re: Proposed changes for the next edition of Ontario's Building Code (Fall Consultation)**

Mr. Ross,

The Ontario Association of Architects (OAA) is closely watching as the harmonization of the Ontario Building Code (OBC) and National Construction Codes (NCC) takes place. While the OAA appreciated the webinars and direct engagement with you, I must tell you our members, including those on our governing Council and on the Sub-Committee on Building Codes and Regulations (SCOBCAR), have found it very challenging to understand why such changes are being made in the absence of clear rationale.

On behalf of the OAA, I encourage the Ministry to always provide rationales to help not only the architecture profession but also the wider design/construction industry navigate these changes and provide meaningful feedback. Without offering clear explanation and intent, the responses from the industry may not be as useful as expected. Once formalized, these changes could ultimately cause confusion in interpretation and application by industry professionals, including Chief Building Officials and Municipal Plans examiners

The OAA appreciated hearing that issues related to energy efficiency and—hopefully—climate stability will be dealt with in the winter consultation. I have repeatedly heard feedback from Architects and Licensed Technologists OAA across the province that this seemingly all-encompassing focus on harmonization is a distraction from the most pressing issue before us: the climate emergency.

Based on estimates from various sources, approximately one third of greenhouse gas emissions come from the built environment in which Canadians live, work, and play. As such, the OAA encourages the implementation of energy step codes, both in the OBC and NCC.

Within Ontario, the City of Toronto has already taken a leadership role in this, through the implementation of the Toronto Green Standard (TGS). In this case, all Part 3 buildings must be compliant with Step 1 of the Step Code and project proponents can opt to go beyond Step 1 in exchange for various development incentives. Objective, tiered performance metrics help everyone understand energy use in buildings and can help Ontario achieve its 2030 targets.

I understand that significant changes related to energy efficiency are envisioned to the NCC, which is what made it palatable to include Ontario-specific measures such

as SB-10 and SB-12 in the scope of the review, whereas other Ontario-specific measures around accessibility were not on the table for harmonization. On behalf of the OAA, I strongly caution that harmonization cannot in any way be allowed to move us backward. The only path is forward, through far more aggressive code changes that get our buildings to net zero in time to achieve the province's 2030 target.

Such measures are incredibly advantageous for not only safeguarding our future, but also future-proofing our economy. Workforce 2030, a coalition of construction-sector employers, educators, and practitioners, estimates that reducing emissions would triple the current number of design and construction professionals working on low-carbon buildings and retrofits to more than 600,000 workers. Moreover, according to [a report](#) prepared for Clean Energy Canada, Canada-wide impacts of the Pan-Canadian Framework's energy efficiency initiatives include a 1% boost in GDP over 14 years, more than 1,650,000 new jobs, more than \$1.4 billion in savings for households across the country, and a reduction of 52 million tonnes of greenhouse gas emissions by 2030.

In Ontario, these initiatives would have the benefit of increasing the province's GDP by \$174.5 billion and create more than 740,000 new jobs between 2017 and 2030.

The OAA would be happy to discuss further its submission with Ministry officials, and we look forward to a winter consultation that focuses adequate attention onto the climate emergency and contains the appropriate rationales to help stakeholders do their work.

Sincerely,



Susan Speigel, Architect  
OAA, FRAIC  
President











## Ontario-Only Code Change Posting

12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456 12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456

Current Ontario Code Provision	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Existing Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision with the Tracked Changes of Code Text	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision without Tracked Changes
--------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---	---

OAA Comments	Rank	Status
--------------	------	--------



PROPOSED BUILDING CODE CHANGES EXISTING TECHNICAL VARIATIONS AND ONTARIO -ONLY CHANGES

## TABLE 2: EXISTING TECHNICAL VARIATION CODE CHANGES

The tables below list the Building Code change proposals that have been identified as part of major subject areas that would contribute significantly to increasing harmonization between Ontario’s Building Code. The first three columns of the tables list Ontario’s current Building Code requirements, while the fourth column is a “red-lined” version of the proposed changes, comparing the text of the current Ontario Code to the proposed changes. It is important to note that these tables contain only the Sentences that are proposed to be changed, all other Sentences will remain unchanged. Furthermore, only the changes captured in the fifth column

**Div. A Compliance, Objectives and Functional Statements**

- [Part 1 Compliance and General](#)
- [Part 2 Objectives](#)
- [Part 3 Functional Statements](#)

**Div. B Acceptable Solution**

- [Part 3 Fire Protection, Occupant Safety and Accessibility](#)
  - o [Secondary Suites](#)
  - o [Ventilation](#)
  - o [Standpipe Systems](#)
  - o [Fire Safety, Fire Sprinklers, Fire Alarm Systems](#)
  - i. [Provisions Related to Article 3.2.2. Building Size and Construction Relative to Occupancy](#) ii. [Other Provisions](#)
  - o [Guards to Not Facilitate Climbing](#)
  - o [Mezzanines and Interconnected Floor Spaces](#)
  - i. [Interconnected Floor Spaces](#) ii. [Mezzanines](#)
- [Part 4 Structural Design](#)
- [Part 5 Environmental Separation](#)
  - o [Soil Gas and Radon](#)
  - o [Miscellaneous](#)
- [Part 6 Heating, Ventilating and Air-Conditioning](#)
  - o [Soil gas and Radon](#)
  - o [Fire sprinklers, fire alarm systems and carbon monoxide alarms](#)
  - o [Ventilation](#)
  - o [Miscellaneous](#)
- [Part 7 Plumbing](#)
  - o [Secondary Suites](#)
  - o [Plumbing](#)
- [Part 9 Housing and Small Buildings](#)
  - o [Soil Gas and Radon](#)
  - o [Secondary Suites](#)
  - o [Ventilation](#)
  - o [Braced-Wall Panels](#)
  - o [Standpipe Systems](#)
  - o [Fire Sprinklers, Fire Alarm Systems and Carbon Monoxide Alarms](#)
  - o [Plumbing](#)
  - o [Mezzanines](#)
  - o [Guards to Not Facilitate Climbing](#)

**Note for MMAH:**

Unless otherwise specified, the proposed codes changes under "Ontario-Only Building Code Changes" were accepted "as-is" or where the OAA had "no comments" were deleted from the list. What remains

The table below lists the Code change proposals that would fall under Division A of Ontario’s Building Code. Making these changes to Ontario’s Building Code would better align with the language and

**PART 1 COMPLIANCE AND GENERAL**

12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456 123456789012345678901234567890123456

Current Ontario Code Sentence	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}
1.4.1.2.(1)	1.4.1.2. Defined Terms	N/A	<u>Combustible dusts means dusts and particles that are ignitable and liable to produce an explosion.</u>	Combustible dusts means dusts and particles that are ignitable and liable to produce an explosion.

OAA Comments	Rank	Status
Since this definition already exists in the OFC and is more specific, it is advisable to match the OFC definition. The OFC definition is: Combustible dust means dust and particles ignitable and liable to explode when mixed with air. NOTE: it is not a plural word in the OFC i.e. 'dust' and not 'dusts'.	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

**PART 3 FUNCTIONAL STATEMENTS**

Current /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}
<a href="#">3.1.1.1.(2)</a>	3.1.1.1. Application of Functional Statements	N/A	<u>Functional Statement F56 applies only to dwelling units.</u>	Functional Statement F56 applies only to dwelling units.
<a href="#">3.1.1.1.(3)</a>	3.1.1.1. Application of Functional Statements	N/A	<u>Functional Statements F73 and F74 do not apply to (a) detached houses, semi-detached houses, houses with a secondary suite, duplexes, triplexes, townhouses, row houses and boarding houses, Secondary Suite), (b) buildings of Group F, Division 1 major occupancy, and (c) buildings that are not intended to be occupied on a daily or full-time basis, including automatic telephone exchanges, pumphouses and substations</u>	Functional Statements F73 and F74 do not apply to (a) detached houses, semi-detached houses, houses with a secondary suite, duplexes, triplexes, townhouses, row houses and boarding houses, Secondary Suite), (b) buildings of Group F, Division 1 major occupancy, and (c) buildings that are not intended to be occupied on a daily or full-time basis, including automatic telephone exchanges, pumphouses and substations.
<a href="#">3.1.1.1.(4)</a>	3.1.1.1. Application of Functional Statements	N/A	<u>Functional Statements F90 to F93, F95, F96 and F98 to F100 apply only to (a) buildings of residential occupancy to which Part 9 of Division B applies, (b) buildings containing business and personal services, mercantile or low-hazard industrial occupancies to which Part 9 of Division B applies whose combined total floor area does not exceed 300 m<sup>2</sup>, and (c) buildings containing a mix of the residential and non-residential occupancies described in Clauses (a) and (b).</u>	Functional Statements F90 to F93, F95, F96 and F98 to F100 apply only to (a) buildings of residential occupancy to which Part 9 of Division B applies, (b) buildings containing business and personal services, mercantile or low- hazard industrial occupancies to which Part 9 of Division B applies whose combined total floor area does not exceed 300 m <sup>2</sup> , and (c) buildings containing a mix of the residential and non-residential occupancies described in Clauses (a) and (b).

OAA Comments	Rank	Status
We do not support this proposed change because it would limit application of F56. We do not understand why to draw attention to it? Can Ministry clarify intent?	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
This proposed change is viewed as not necessary and inappropriate because these building types (cited Clauses) are already exempt by Sentence 3.8.1.1.(1) Division B, from having to comply with the requirements of Section 3.8. Barrier-Free Design. This is further reinforced by the fact that there is no objective nor functional statement(s) currently attributed to this acceptable solution (3.8.1.1.(1)) in Table 3.2.1.1., Division A.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
The cited Functional Statements F90 to F93, F95, F96 and F98 to F100 do not currently exist. This beckons the question: are these NEW Functional Statements being proposed? And, what do these statements say? This proposed change is incomplete. MMAH to provide new Statements for review.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.

**DIVISION B ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS**

The table below lists the Code change proposals that would fall under Part 3 Fire Protection, Occupant Safety and Accessibility of Ontario's Building Code. Making these changes to Ontario's Building Code would better align with the language and approach of the National Construction Codes and support Ontario's commitment to increase cross-country

For the full list of proposed changes, please view the table below. Please provide your comments and feedback in the following link: <https://forms.office.com/r/2tgFLg9WFQ>

**VENTILATION**

Existing Ontario Code Sentence	Existing Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}
<a href="#">3.3.5.4.(4)</a>	3.3.5.4. Repair and Storage Garages	A garage shall be provided with natural or mechanical ventilation in conformance with the requirements of Subsection 6.2.2. to prevent excessive accumulation of carbon monoxide, exhaust fumes or flammable and toxic vapours.	A garage shall be provided with natural or mechanical ventilation in conformance with the requirements of Subsection 6.2.2. <u>and Article 6.2.2.5.</u> to prevent excessive accumulation of carbon monoxide, exhaust fumes or flammable and toxic vapours.	A garage shall be provided with natural or mechanical ventilation in conformance with the requirements of Subsection 6.2.2. and Article 6.2.2.5. to prevent excessive accumulation of carbon monoxide, exhaust fumes or flammable and toxic vapours.

OAA Comments	Rank	Status
Not sure why it is needed to add sub-articles when 6.2.2. should cover it.	5	I have reviewed this proposed change and I have no opinion on it.

STANDPIPE SYSTEMS

Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	Comments	Rank	Status
<a href="#">3.2.5.10.(5)</a>	<del>3.2.9.3.</del> <a href="#">3.2.5.10.</a> Hose Connections	N/A	<u>Hose connections for 64 mm diam hose are note required in a building that is not more than 25 m high, measured between grade and the ceiling level of the top storey and in which an automatic sprinkler system is not installed.</u>	Hose connections for 64 mm diam hose are note required in a building that is not more than 25 m high, measured between grade and the ceiling level of the top storey and in which an automatic sprinkler system is not installed.	"note" should be "not"	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
<del>3.2.9.1.(4)</del> <a href="#">3.2.5.8.(1)</a>	<del>3.2.9.1. Where Required</del> <a href="#">3.2.5.8. Standpipe System</a>	Except as provided in Sentences (4) to (7), a standpipe system shall be installed in every building that, (a) is more than 3 storeys in building height, (b) is more than 14 m high measured between grade and the ceiling of the top storey, or (c) is not more than 14 m high measured between grade and the ceiling of the top storey but has a building area exceeding the area shown	Except as provided in Sentences (4) to (7), a standpipe system shall be installed in <del>every building that, is-</del> <del>(a) is more than 3 storeys in building height,</del> <del>(b) is more than 14 m high measured between grade and the ceiling of the top storey, or</del> <del>(c) is not more than 14 m high measured between grade and the ceiling of the top storey but has a building area exceeding the area shown</del>	Except as provided in Sentences (4) to (7), a standpipe system shall be installed in a building that is (a) more than 3 storeys in building height, (b) more than 14 m high measured between grade and the ceiling of the top storey, or (c) not more than 14 m high measured between grade and the ceiling of the top storey but has a building area exceeding the area shown	Table reference should be "Table 3.2.5.8."	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		in Table 3.2.9.1. for the applicable building height if the building is not sprinklered.	in Table <del>3.2.9.15.8.</del> for the applicable building height <del>if unless</del>	in Table <b>3.2.5.8.</b> for the applicable building height unless the building is sprinklered throughout.			
<del>3.2.9.2.(4)</del> <a href="#">3.2.5.9.(1)</a>	<del>3.2.9.2. Standpipe System Design</del> <a href="#">3.2.5.9. Standpipe System Design</a>	Except as otherwise provided in this Subsection, if a standpipe system is required, the design, construction, installation and testing of the system shall be in conformance with NFPA 14, "Installation of Standpipe and Hose Systems".	Except as <del>otherwise provided in this Subsection, if a standpipe system is required, Sentences (2) to (6), Articles 3.2.5.10. and 3.2.5.11., and Sentence 3.2.4.10.(2),</del> the design, construction, installation and testing of <del>the standpipe system shall be in conformance with</del> conform to NFPA 14, "Installation of Standpipe and Hose Systems".	Except as provided in Sentences (2) to (6), Articles 3.2.5.10. and 3.2.5.11., and Sentence 3.2.4.10.(2), the design, construction, installation and testing of a standpipe system shall conform to NFPA 14, "Installation of Standpipe and Hose Systems."	NBC has 2 additional sentences (2) re dry standpipes being unsatisfactory and (3) water supply need not be more than 30 l/s if more than 1 standpipe is provided. Flow rates are covered in NFPA 14, 7.10 and are consistent with NBC requirement. But the omission of sentences (2) & (3) begs the question, if the principle rational is to harmonize with NBC, why leave out these 2 sentences? I checked he proposed changes to NBC 2020 for changes to 3.2.5.9. and there were none. I suggest we recommend that sentences (2) & (3) be included in OBC revision.	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
<a href="#">3.2.5.9.(3.1)</a>	<a href="#">3.2.5.9. Standpipe System Design</a>	N/A	<u>A standpipe need not be installed in a storage garage conforming to Article 3.2.2.83., provided the building is not more than 15 m high.</u>	A standpipe need not be installed in a storage garage conforming to Article 3.2.2.83., provided the building is not more than 15 m high.	I'm not following the proposed numbering. In NBC this is sentence 3.2.5.9.(4)	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
<del>3.2.9.2.(4)</del> <a href="#">3.2.5.9.(4)</a>	<a href="#">3.2.5.9. Standpipe System Design</a> <del>3.2.9.2. Standpipe System Design</del>	The residual water pressure at the design flow rate at the hydraulically most remote hose connection of a standpipe system that is required to be installed in a building is permitted to be less than 450 kPa provided that, (a) the building is sprinklered, (b) the water supply at the base of the sprinkler riser is capable of meeting the design flow rate and pressure demand of the sprinkler system, including the inside and outside hose allowance, and (c) fire protection equipment is available to deliver, by means of the fire department connection, the full demand flow rate at a residual water pressure of 450 kPa at the hydraulically most remote hose connection of the standpipe system.	The residual water pressure at the design flow rate at the <del>hydraulically most remote</del> <del>topmost</del> hose connection of a standpipe system that is required to be installed in a building is permitted to be less than <del>450</del> <del>690</del> kPa <del>provided that, (a) the building is sprinklered, (b) the water supply at the base of the sprinkler riser is capable of meeting, without a fire pump, the design flow rate and pressure demand of the sprinkler system, including the inside and outside hose allowance, and</del> <del>(c) fire protection equipment is available to deliver, by means of the fire department connection, the full demand flow rate at a residual water pressure of</del> <del>450</del> <del>690</del> kPa	The residual water pressure at the design flow rate at the topmost hose connection of a standpipe system that is required to be installed in a building is permitted to be less than 690 kPa provided (a) the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) the water supply at the base of the sprinkler riser is capable of meeting, without a fire pump, the design flow rate and pressure demand of the sprinkler system, including the inside and outside hose allowance, and (c) fire protection equipment is available to deliver, by means of the fire department connection, the full demand flow rate at a residual water pressure of 690 kPa at the topmost hose connection of the standpipe system.	Text matches NBC 3.2.5.9.(5). I'm not sure why this sentence is numbered 3.2.5.9.(4).	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
			at the <del>hydraulically most remote</del> <del>topmost</del> hose connection of the standpipe system.				

FIRE SAFETY, FIRE SPRINKLERS, FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS

I. PROVISIONS RELATED TO ARTICLE 3.2.2. BUILDING SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION RELATIVE TO OCCUPANCY

Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	Comments	Rank	Status
3.2.2.18.(1)	3.2.2.18 Automatic Sprinkler System Required	If an automatic sprinkler system is required by Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83., the system shall conform to the requirements of Articles 3.2.4.8. to 3.2.4.10. and 3.2.5.13.	<del>If except as permitted by Sentence (2), an automatic sprinkler system is required by Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83., conforming to the system shall conform to the</del> requirements of Articles 3.2.4.8. to 3.2.4.10. and 3.2.5.13. shall be installed throughout a building regulated by one or more of Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83.	Except as permitted by Sentence (2), an automatic sprinkler system conforming to the requirements of Articles 3.2.4.8. to 3.2.4.10. and 3.2.5.13. shall be installed throughout a building regulated by one or more of Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83.	This language suggests that sprinklers are required in all buildings regulated by 3.2.2.20 - 3.2.2.83. In the NBC this sentence is coordinated with the articles within subsection 3.2.2 where sprinklers are required. Sprinklers are not required in 3.2.2.25, 28, 32, 34, 35, 46, 47, 50, 51, 53, 55, 59, 61, 66, 71, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82 & 83	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.18.(2)	3.2.2.18 Automatic Sprinkler System Required	N/A	<u>If a storey in a building or a floor area is required to have an automatic sprinkler system installed throughout in accordance with one or more of Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83. or Section 3.3., the automatic sprinkler system shall also be installed throughout all lower storeys in the building notwithstanding permission in Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83. to construct one or more of those storeys without installing automatic sprinkler protection.</u>	If a storey in a building or a floor area is required to have an automatic sprinkler system installed throughout in accordance with one or more of Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83. or Section 3.3., the automatic sprinkler system shall also be installed throughout all lower storeys in the building notwithstanding permission in Articles 3.2.2.20. to 3.2.2.83. to construct one or more of those storeys without installing automatic sprinkler protection.	Makes sense but caught me, and I assume others off guard. I read this as a requirement and not a permission. How this Sentence is read effects most of Subsection 3.2.2.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
3.2.2.20.(2)	3.2.2.20. Group A, Division 1, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by	I've made similar comments on the next 69 articles so the following is worthy of some discussion. The OBC revision is all but identical to the NBC. I can't believe that I'm right and the NBC is wrong. I've probably misread Clause (a) but as I read it, 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. [Superimposed Major Occupancies] By referencing 3.2.2.7., this article permits an unsprinklered superimposed major occupancy even though the A1 occupancy is sprinklered throughout. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	<del>Sentence</del> <del>Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2),</del> the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.			

3.2.2.21.(1)	3.2.2.21. Group A, Division 1, 1 Storey, Limited Area <u>Sprinklered</u>	A building classified as Group A, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, (b) it has less than 40% of the area of the building as 2 storeys for the purpose of, (i) development of productions including preparation of scenery and costumes and rehearsal of performers, (ii) organization of performers, scenery and sound equipment, (iii) preparation by performers for a performance, (iv) managerial functions, or (v) toilets, rest rooms and similar public facilities, (c) it has no occupancy above or below the auditorium other than one that serves it or is dependent on it, (d) it is not more	A building classified as Group A, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) it <del>is not more than 1 storey in building height, (b) it has less than 40% of the area of the building as 2 storeys for the purpose of,</del> <u>except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height,</u> <del>(c) it has less than 40% of the area of the building as 2 storeys for the purpose of,</del> (i) development of productions, including preparation of scenery and costumes and rehearsal of performers, (ii) organization of performers, scenery and sound equipment, (iii) preparation by performers for a performance, (iv) managerial functions, or (v) toilets, rest rooms and similar public facilities, <del>(d) it</del>	A building classified as Group A, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, (c) it has less than 40% of the area of the building as 2 storeys for the purpose of (i) development of productions, including preparation of scenery and costumes and rehearsal of performers, (ii) organization of performers, scenery and sound equipment, (iii) preparation by performers for a performance, (iv) managerial functions, or (v) toilets, rest rooms and similar public facilities, (d) it	Sprinkler requirement harmonized with NBC. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		than 600 m <sup>2</sup> in building area, and (e) the occupant load is not more than 600.	has no occupancy above or below the auditorium other than one <del>that</del> <u>which</u> serves it or is dependent on it, <del>(d) it is not more than 600 m<sup>2</sup> in building area, and (e) the occupant load is not more than 600.</del>	has no occupancy above or below the auditorium other than one which serves it or is dependent on it, (e) it is not more than 600 m <sup>2</sup> in building area, and (f) the occupant load is not more than 600.			
3.2.2.22.(1)	3.2.2.22. Group A, Division 1, 1 Storey <u>Sprinklered</u>	A building classified as Group A, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, (b) no part of an auditorium floor is more than 5 m above or below grade, (c) no occupancy is above or below the auditorium other than one that serves it or is dependent on it, and (d) the occupant load of the auditorium floor is not more than 300.	A building classified as Group A, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence <del>(2) provided,</del> <u>(3.2.2.22.(2) provided,</u> (a) <u>except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout,</u> (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, <del>(c) no part of an auditorium floor is more than 5 m above or below grade,</del> <del>(d) no occupancy is above or below the auditorium other than one that which serves it or is dependent on it, and (e) the occupant load of the auditorium floor is not more than 300.</del>	A building classified as Group A, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence 3.2.2.22.(2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, (c) no part of an auditorium floor is more than 5 m above or below grade, (d) no occupancy is above or below the auditorium other than one which serves it or is dependent on it, and (e) the occupant load of the auditorium floor is not more than 300.	Sprinkler requirement harmonized with NBC. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.23.(1)	3.2.2.23. Group A, Division 2, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2),</del> the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout,</u> (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less <u>than</u> 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.24.(1)	3.2.2.24. Group A, Division 2, up to 6 Storeys, Any Area, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group A, Division 2, that is not limited by building area, is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, and (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 2, that is not limited by building area, is permitted to conform to Sentence <del>(2) provided,</del> <del>(a) except as permitted by Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2),</del> the building is sprinklered <u>throughout,</u> and (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 2, that is not limited by building area, is permitted to conform to Sentence (2), provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, and (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.2.2.26.(1)	3.2.2.26. Group A, Division 2, up to 2 Storeys, Increased Area, <u>Sprinklered</u>	A building classified as Group A, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <u>Sentences</u> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	Sprinkler requirement harmonized with NBC. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.27.(1)	3.2.2.27. Group A, Division 2, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group A, Division 2 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination, provided, except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and it has a building area not more than, 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height with no basement, 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 2 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction, used singly or in combination, provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <u>Sentences</u> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered, <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height with no basement, (ii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (iii) 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 2 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction, used singly or in combination, provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height with no basement, (ii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (iii) 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.29.(2)	3.2.2.29. Group A, Division 3, Any Height, Any Area	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered if it is regulated by Subsection 3.2.6., (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, (d) if the	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <u>Sentences</u> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered <u>if it is regulated by Subsection 3.2.6., throughout</u> , (b) <del>floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-</del>	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls,	Sprinkler requirement harmonized with NBC. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend adding "Sprinklered" to article title and deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (e) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	resistance rating not less than 1 h, <del>(d) if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (e) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.</del>	columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.			
3.2.2.31.(1)	3.2.2.31. Group A, Division 3, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group A, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group A, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <u>Sentences</u> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building <del>shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 12 000 m<sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 6 000 m<sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.</del>	A building classified as Group A, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.33.(1)	3.2.2.33. Group A, Division 3, 1 Storey, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group A, Division 3 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination, provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group A, Division 3 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination, provided, (a) except as permitted by	A building classified as Group A, Division 3 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> .	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

			<del>Sentence</del> <del>Sentences</del> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> .	
3.2.2.36.(2)	3.2.2.36. Group B, Division 1, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <del>Sentences</del> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for <del>the supported</del> <u>the supported assembly</u> .	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.
3.2.2.37.(1)	3.2.2.37. Group B, Division 1, up to 3 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group B, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1) the	A building classified as Group B, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <del>Sentences</del>	A building classified as Group B, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and
		building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) not more than 8 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.7.(1) <u>and</u> 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) not more than 8 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) not more than 8 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.
3.2.2.38.(2)	3.2.2.38. Group B, Division 2 or Division 3, Any Height, Any	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <del>Sentences</del> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.
3.2.2.39.(1)	3.2.2.39. Group B, Division 2 or Division 3, up to 3	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided,	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided,	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided
	Storeys, Sprinklered	(a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) not more than 8 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	(a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence</del> <del>Sentences</del> 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) not more than 8 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	(a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) not more than 8 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.

3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

Add "Area, Sprinklered" to article title. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.2.2.40.(1)	3.2.2.40. Group B, Division 2 or Division 3, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	Another diversion from the NBC which has separate articles for Divisions 2 & 3. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.41.(1)	3.2.2.41. Group B, Division 2 or Division 3, 1 Storey, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination, provided, except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and it has a building area not more than 500 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction, used singly or in combination, provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered, <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 500 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group B, Division 2 or Division 3 is permitted to be of combustible construction or noncombustible construction, used singly or in combination, provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 500 m <sup>2</sup> .	Another diversion from the NBC which has separate articles for Divisions 2 & 3. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.42.(2)	3.2.2.42. Group C, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and,	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and,	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		(a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) except as permitted by Sentence (3), floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	(a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) except as permitted by Sentence (3), floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	(a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) except as permitted by Sentence (3), floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.			
3.2.2.43.(1)	<del>3.2.2.42.43. Group C, up to 6 Storeys, Sprinklered, Noncombustible Construction Group C, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered</del>	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 2 storeys in building height, (ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height,	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 2 storeys in building height,	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 2 storeys in building height,	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		(iii) not more than 9 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height, (iv) not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 5 storeys in building height, or (v) not more than 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 6 storeys in building height.	(ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, (iii) not more than 9 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height, (iv) not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 5 storeys in building height, or (v) not more than 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 6 storeys in building height.	(ii) not more than 12 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, (iii) not more than 9 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height, (iv) not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 5 storeys in building height, or (v) not more than 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 6 storeys in building height.			



3.2.2.45.(1)	3.2.2.45. Group C, up to 4 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.48.(1)	3.2.2.48. Group C, up to 3 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 5 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 2 700 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 5 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 2 700 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group C other than a retirement home is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 5 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 2 700 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.49.(2)	3.2.2.49. Group D, Any Height, Any Area	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered if it is regulated by Subsection 3.2.6.,	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible <u>construction, and</u> <del>construction, and,</del> (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building shall be	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout,	Add ", Sprinklered" to article title. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		(b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, (d) if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, except that in a building not more than 1 storey in building height this requirement is waived, and (e) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	sprinklered <del>if it is regulated by Subsection 3.2.6., throughout,</del> (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less <u>than 1 h, and</u> <del>(d) if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, except that in a building not more than 1 storey in building height this requirement is waived, and</del> <del>(e) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.</del>	(b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.			
3.2.2.52.(1)	3.2.2.52. Group D, up to 4 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> .	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.2.2.54.(1)	3.2.2.54. Group D, up to 3 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.56.(1)	3.2.2.54. Group D, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 3 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 3 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group D is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 3 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.57.(2)	3.2.2.57. Group E, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible <del>construction, and construction, and</del> , (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not <del>less than</del> <u>less than 2 h</u> , (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less <del>than</del> <u>1 h</u> , and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.58.(1)	3.2.2.58. Group E, up to 4 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> .	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.60.(1)	3.2.2.60. Group E, up to 3 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and <del>(c) it has a building (area not more than, (i) 7 200 m<sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height,</del> (ii) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building (area not more than (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.2.2.62.(1)	3.2.2.62. Group E, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 3 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 3 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group E is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 3 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.63.(2)	3.2.2.63. Group F, Division 1, up to 4 Storeys, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered,	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> ,	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout,	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		(b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	(b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	(b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.			
3.2.2.64.(1)	3.2.2.64. Group F, Division 1, up to 3 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group F, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height	A building classified as Group F, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 1 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, or (iii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.65.(1)	3.2.2.65. Group F, Division 1, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group F, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 1 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 1 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.67.(2)	3.2.2.67. Group F, Division 2, Any Height, Any Area, Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building shall be sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h,	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

		(d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	(c) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	(d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.			
<b>3.2.2.69.(1)</b>	3.2.2.69. Group Group F, Division 2, up to 4 Storeys, Increased Area Sprinklered	(1) A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (b) it has a building area not more than the value in Table 3.2.2.69.A. or Table 3.2.2.69.B.	<del>(1) A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided,</del> (a) <u>except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout,</u> (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) <del>it has a building area not more than the value</del> (i) 18 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in <del>Table 3.2.2.69.A. building height,</del> (ii) 9 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or <del>Table 3.2.2.69.B</del> (iv) 4 500 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 18 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 9 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 6 000 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 4 500 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
<b>3.2.2.69.(2)</b>	3.2.2.69. Group Group F, Division 2, up to 4 Storeys, Increased Area Sprinklered	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, (b) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, (c) if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, (b) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, (c) <del>if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and</del> (d) <del>loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.</del>	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, (b) mezzanines shall have a fire- resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (c) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.		3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
<b>3.2.2.70.(1)</b>	3.2.2.70. Group F, Division 2, up to 4 Storeys	A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (b) it has a building area not more than the value in Table 3.2.2.70.A. or Table 3.2.2.70.B.	A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) <u>except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout,</u> (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) <del>it has a building area not more than the value</del> (i) 9 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in <del>Table 3.2.2.70.A. building height,</del> (ii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 3 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or <del>Table 3.2.2.70.B</del> (iv) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 9 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 3 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	Add ", Sprinklered" to article title. 3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
			that required for the <del>supported-assembly fire separation.</del>				
<b>3.2.2.70A.(1)</b>	3.2.2.70.(1) Group F, Division 2, up to 3 Storeys	N/A	A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (b) it has a building area not more than the value in Table 3.2.2.76.	A building classified as Group F, Division 2 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) it is not more than 3 storeys in building height, and (b) it has a building area not more than the value in Table 3.2.2.76.	Renumbering, should table reference be "Table 3.2.2.70A"	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.2.2.73.(2)	3.2.2.73. Group F, Division 3, Any Height, Any Area <u>Sprinklered</u>	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building shall be sprinklered if it is regulated by Subsection 3.2.6., (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, except that floor assemblies are permitted to be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h in a storage garage with all storeys constructed as open-air storeys, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, (d) if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (e) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building shall be sprinklered <del>if it is regulated by Subsection 3.2.6., throughout,</del> (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, except that floor assemblies are permitted to be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h in a storage garage with all storeys constructed as open-air storeys, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and <del>(d) if the building is not sprinklered, roof assemblies shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and</del>	Except as permitted by Article 3.2.2.16., the building referred to in Sentence (1) shall be of noncombustible construction, and (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building shall be sprinklered throughout, (b) floor assemblies shall be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 2 h, except that floor assemblies are permitted to be fire separations with a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h in a storage garage with all storeys constructed as open-air storeys, (c) mezzanines shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than 1 h, and (d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
			<del>(e,d) loadbearing walls, columns and arches shall have a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the supported assembly.</del>				
3.2.2.74.(1)	3.2.2.75. Group F, Division 3, up to 6 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 21 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) not more than 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, (iv) not more than 10 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height, (v) not more than 8 640 m <sup>2</sup> if 5 storeys in building height, or (vi) not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 6 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <del>throughout,</del> (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area, (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 21 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) not more than 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, (iv) not more than 10 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height, (v) not more than 8 640 m <sup>2</sup> if 5 storeys in building height, or (vi) not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 6 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 6 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area (i) that is not limited if the building is not more than 1 storey in building height, (ii) not more than 21 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) not more than 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, (iv) not more than 10 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height, (v) not more than 8 640 m <sup>2</sup> if 5 storeys in building height, or (vi) not more than 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 6 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
3.2.2.77.(1)	3.2.2.77. Group F, Division 3, up to 4 Storeys, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2)</del> , the building is sprinklered <del>throughout,</del> (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 4 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 14 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, (ii) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height, (iii) 4 800 m <sup>2</sup> if 3 storeys in building height, or (iv) 3 600 m <sup>2</sup> if 4 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.2.2.79.(1)	3.2.2.79. Group F, Division 3, up to 2 Storeys, Sprinklered	(1) A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered,	<del>(1) A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1) and</del>	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to conform to Sentence (2) provided
		(b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than, (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.	(a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 2 storeys in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than (i) 7 200 m <sup>2</sup> if 1 storey in building height, or (ii) 2 400 m <sup>2</sup> if 2 storeys in building height.
3.2.2.81.(1)	3.2.2.81. Group F, Division 3, 1 Storey, Sprinklered	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to be of heavy timber construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination provided, (a) except as permitted by Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1), the building is sprinklered, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 16 800 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to be of heavy timber construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination provided, (a) except as permitted by <del>Sentence 3.2.2.7.(1) and</del> 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered <u>throughout</u> , (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 16 800 m <sup>2</sup> .	A building classified as Group F, Division 3 is permitted to be of heavy timber construction or noncombustible construction used singly or in combination provided (a) except as permitted by Sentences 3.2.2.7.(1) and 3.2.2.18.(2), the building is sprinklered throughout, (b) it is not more than 1 storey in building height, and (c) it has a building area not more than 16 800 m <sup>2</sup> .

3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
---	---	--

3.2.2.18.(2) is a requirement, not a permission and as best as I can see trumps 3.2.2.7. The language is consistent with NBC but doesn't make sense to me. I recommend deleting reference to 3.2.2.18	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
---	---	--

II. OTHER PROVISIONS

Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}
3.1.15.2.(2)	3.1.15.2. Roof Coverings	A roof covering is not required to have a Class A, B or C classification for, a tent, an air-supported structure, a building of Group A, Division 2 occupancy not more than 2 storeys in building height and not more than 1 000 m <sup>2</sup> in building area, provided the roof covering is underlaid with noncombustible material, or a steel building system described in Article 4.3.4.3., provided the roof covering consists of metal sheets, metal shingles or other noncombustible roofing materials.	A roof covering is not required to have a Class A, B or C classification for, a tent, an air-supported structure, a building of Group A, Division 2 occupancy not more than 2 storeys in building height and not more than 1 000 m <sup>2</sup> in building area, provided the roof covering is underlaid with noncombustible material, or a steel building system <del>described</del> referred to in Article 4.3.4.3., provided the roof covering consists of <u>brick, masonry, concrete, metal sheets, or metal shingles or other noncombustible roofing materials.</u>	A roof covering is not required to have a Class A, B or C classification for a tent, an air-supported structure, a building of Group A, Division 2 occupancy not more than 2 storeys in building height and not more than 1 000 m <sup>2</sup> in building area provided the roof covering is underlaid with noncombustible material, or a steel building system referred to in Article 4.3.4.3., provided the roof covering consists of brick, masonry, concrete, metal sheets or metal shingles.
3.2.3.7.(1)	3.2.3.7. Construction of Exposing Building Face	Except as provided by Sentences (3) to (6) and Articles 3.2.3.10. and 3.2.3.11, the fire-resistance rating, construction and cladding for exposing building faces of buildings or fire compartments shall comply with Table 3.2.3.7.	Except as provided by Sentences (3) to <del>(6)</del> and Articles <del>3.2.3.10. and 3.2.3.11</del> , the fire-resistance rating, construction and cladding for exposing building faces of buildings or fire compartments <u>of Group A, B, C, D or Group F, Division 3 occupancy classification shall comply with Table 3.2.3.7.</u>	Except as provided by Sentences (3) to (4) and Articles 3.2.3.10. and 3.2.3.11, the fire-resistance rating, construction and cladding for exposing building faces of buildings or fire compartments of Group A, B, C, D or Group F, Division 3 occupancy classification shall comply with Table 3.2.3.7.
3.2.3.7.(2)	3.2.3.7. Construction of Exposing Building Face	Reserved	<del>Reserved</del> Except as provided in Sentences (3) and (4) and Article 3.2.3.10., the fire-resistance rating, construction and cladding for exposing building faces of buildings or fire compartments of Group E or Group F, Division 1 or 2 occupancy classification shall comply with Table 3.2.3.7.	Except as provided in Sentences (3) and (4) and Article 3.2.3.10., the fire-resistance rating, construction and cladding for exposing building faces of buildings or fire compartments of Group E or Group F, Division 1 or 2 occupancy classification shall comply with Table 3.2.3.7.

Comments	Rank	Status
Added more roof coverings (brick? masonry? etc.). But why remove the "or other noncombustible roofing materials....". I suggest leaving that in. Taking it out removes opportunity to innovation for roof materials.	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
Ok with the change. But Sentence (1) and (2) have inconsistent wording. "provided by" versus "provided in" "(3) to (4)" versus "(3) and (4)".	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
Ok with the change. But Sentence (1) and (2) have inconsistent wording. "provided by" versus "provided in" "(3) to (4)" versus "(3) and (4)".	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).

3.2.4.3.(1)	3.2.4.3. Types of Fire Alarm Systems	A fire alarm system shall be, (a) a single stage system in a Group F, Division 1 occupancy, (b) a two stage system in a Group B occupancy other than those described in Clause (c), (c) a single or two stage system in a building 3 storeys or less in building height that contains a Group B, Division 3 occupancy, (d) a single stage system in elementary and secondary schools, except for a special needs facility, and (e) a single or two stage system in all other cases.	A fire alarm system shall be, (a) a single-stage system in a Group F, Division 1 occupancy, (b) <del>a two stage system in a Group B occupancy other than those described in Clause (c),</del> (c) a single- or <del>two 2</del> stage system in a <del>Group B, Division 3 occupancy where the building is 3 storeys or less in building height that contains a Group B, Division 3 occupancy,</del> (d) a single stage system in elementary and secondary schools, except for a special needs facility, and (e) a single- or <del>two 2</del> stage system in all other cases.	A fire alarm system shall be (a) a single- stage system in a Group F, Division 1 occupancy, (b) except as permitted in Clause C), a 2-stage system in a Group B occupancy, (c) a single- or 2-stage system in a Group B, Division 3 occupancy where the building is 3 storeys or less in building height, (d) a single stage system in elementary and secondary schools, except for a special needs facility, and (e) a single- or 2-stage system in all other cases.	except adds clause for sepcial needs facility. Is this defined?	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
3.2.4.15.(3)	3.2.4.17. System Monitoring	N/A	<u>The actuation of each waterflow detecting device required by Sentence (1) shall be indicated separately on the fire alarm system annunciator.</u>	The actuation of each waterflow detecting device required by Sentence (1) shall be indicated separately on the fire alarm system annunciator.	sentence in column A should be 3.2.4.17 (3)	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
3.2.5.7.(2)	3.2.5.7. Water Supply	Hydrants shall be located within 90 m horizontally of any portion of a building perimeter that is required to face a street in Subsection 3.2.2.	<del>Hydrants shall be located within 90 m horizontally of any portion of a building perimeter that is required to face a street in Subsection 3.2.2.</del>	N/A	We require additional context of this change. <b>Additional comment:</b> It makes sense to delete this sentence from the Article that regulates Water Supply. The provisions for location of fire hydrant seems sufficient under current location, 3.2.5.5.(2)(b) for a building not provided with a fire department connection, a fire department pumper vehicle can be located so that the length of the access route from a hydrant to the vehicle plus the unobstructed path of travel for the firefighter from the vehicle to the building is not more than 90 m.  (4) If a portion of a building is completely cut off from the remainder of the building so that there is no access to the remainder of the building, the access routes required by Sentence (2) shall be located so that the unobstructed path of travel from the vehicle to one entrance of each portion of the building is not more than 45 m.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
3.2.5.16.(3)	3.2.5.16. Fire Department Connections	The fire department connections required in Sentences (1) and (2) shall be, located on the outside of a building adjacent to a street or an access route, not less than 300 mm and not more than 900 mm above ground level, and provided with two 65 mm hose connections with female swivel hose couplings.	<del>The fire department connections required in Sentences (1) and (2) shall be, located on the outside of a building adjacent to a street or an access route, not less than 300 mm and not more than 900 mm above ground level, and provided with two 65 mm hose connections with female swivel hose couplings.</del>	N/A	unclear on reason for deletion - need more background	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
3.2.7.9.(4)	3.2.7.9. Emergency Power for Building Services	Except as provided by Sentence (5), an emergency power supply capable of operating under a full load for not less than 30 min shall be provided by emergency generator for water supply for firefighting in conformance with Article 3.2.5.7., if the supply is dependent on electrical power supplied to the building, and the building is not within the scope of Subsection 3.2.6.	<del>Except as provided by Sentence (5), an emergency power supply capable of operating under a full load for not less than 30 min shall be provided by emergency generator for water supply for firefighting in conformance with Article 3.2.5.7., if the supply is dependent on electrical power supplied to the building, and the building is not within the scope of Subsection 3.2.6.</del>	N/A	If intent is to align with NBC, clause 1(b) in same article 3.2.7.9 needs to be modified to delete high building requirement. Sentence (4) is specific to requirements for buildings not within High Buildings:  (b) water supply for firefighting in conformance with Article 3.2.5.7., if the supply is dependent on electrical power supplied to the building, and the building is within the scope of Subsection 3.2.6.,	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

3.3.1.17.(6)	3.3.1.17. Guards	(6) Sentence (1) does not apply at the front edges of stages, floor pits in repair garages and loading docks.	(6) Sentence (1) does not apply- <del>at</del> (a) to the front edges of stages, <del>floor pits in repair garages and</del> (b) to loading docks, (c) to floor pits in repair garages, or (c) where access is provided for maintenance purposes only.	(6) Sentence (1) does not apply, (a) to the front edges of stages, (b) to loading docks, (c) to floor pits in repair garages, or (d) where access is provided for maintenance purposes only.	Appears the intent is to govern floor pits, but the reading seems to apply also to raised maintenance platforms which would create unsafe conditions and would be contradictory to the requirement for guards elsewhere. This should be clarified.  Replaces floor pits with general maintenance purposes. But couldn't that mean areas for building maintenance that are elevated? This would allow maintenance platform within building to not require guards. It is an unsafe situation. <b>Additional comments:</b> platforms (no matter how high) are covered under Article 3.4.7.6. Guards and Railings, Sentence (1): (1) The open sides of every platform, balcony and stairway forming part of a fire escape shall be protected by guards not less than 920 mm high measured vertically above the nosing of any tread or platform. The NBCC intent behind this sentences offers a rational which in my opinion makes sense: Intent 1: <i>To exempt the front edges of stages, loading docks and access for maintenance purposes only from the requirements for guards stated in Sentence 3.3.1.18.(1), on the basis that the users are familiar with the hazard and that the function of the stage, loading dock or access would be negatively impacted by a guard.</i>  A real-life example, Inn on the Park - Toyota does not have any guard protection at the perimeter of the pit.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
3.3.2.12.(4)	3.3.2.12. Stages for Theatrical Performances	A fire curtain required by Sentence (3) shall be of a type designed to close, (a) automatically upon the actuation of the sprinkler system, (b) automatically upon actuation of the fire alarm system, (c) manually by remote control devices located at the curtain control panel and at each side of the stage, and (d) automatically by heat-actuated devices.	A fire curtain required by Sentence (3) shall be of a type <u>acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and</u> designed to close, (a) automatically upon the actuation of the sprinkler system, (b) automatically upon actuation of the fire alarm system, <u>and</u> (c) manually by remote control devices located at the curtain control panel and at each side of the stage, <del>and (d) automatically by heat-actuated devices.</del>	A fire curtain required by Sentence (3) shall be of a type acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and designed to close (a) automatically upon the actuation of the sprinkler system, (b) automatically upon actuation of the fire alarm system, and (c) manually by remote control devices located at the curtain control panel and at each side of the stage.	Why do we need to add "acceptable to the AHJ" here??	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.

**GUARDS TO NOT FACILITATE CLIMBING**

Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	Comments		#N/A
3.3.1.17.(5)	3.3.1.17. Guards	Unless it can be shown that the location and size of openings do not present a hazard, a guard shall be designed so that no member, attachment or opening located between 140 mm and 900 mm above the level protected by the guard will facilitate climbing.	<del>Unless it can be shown that the location and size of openings do not present a hazard, a guard</del> Except for guards conforming to Article 3.3.5.9., guards that protect a level located more than one storey or 4.2 m above the adjacent level shall be designed so that no member, attachment or opening located between 140 mm and 900 mm above the level protected by the guard <del>will facilitate</del> facilitates climbing.	Except for guards conforming to Article 3.3.5.9., guards that protect a level located more than one storey or 4.2 m above the adjacent level shall be designed so that no member, attachment or opening located between 140 mm and 900 mm above the level protected by the guard facilitates climbing.	Not sure where 4.2 m came from.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
3.4.6.6.(8)	3.4.6.6. Guards	Unless it can be shown that the location and size of openings do not present a hazard, a guard shall be designed so that no member, attachment or opening located between 140 mm and 900 mm above the level being protected by the guard will facilitate climbing.	<del>Unless it can be shown that the location and size of openings do not present a hazard, a guard</del> Except for guards conforming to Article 3.3.5.9., guards that protect a level located more than one storey or 4.2 m above the adjacent level shall be designed so that no member, attachment or opening located between 140 mm and 900 mm above the level being protected by the guard <del>will facilitate</del> facilitates climbing.	Except for guards conforming to Article 3.3.5.9., guards that protect a level located more than one storey or 4.2 m above the adjacent level shall be designed so that no member, attachment or opening located between 140 mm and 900 mm above the level being protected by the guard facilitates climbing.	Not sure where 4.2 m came from.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).



MEZZANINES AND INTERCONNECTED FLOOR SPACES

I. INTERCONNECTED FLOOR SPACES

Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	Comments	Rank	Status
3.2.8.2.(5)	3.2.8.2. Exceptions to Special Protection	Except as permitted by Sentence (6), openings for stairways, escalators and inclined moving walks need not conform to the requirements in Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.11. provided, (a) the opening for each stairway, escalator or walk does not exceed 10 m <sup>2</sup> , (b) the building is sprinklered throughout, and (c) the interconnected floor space contains only Group A, Division 1, 2 or 3, Group D or Group E occupancies.	Except as permitted by Sentence (6), openings for <del>stairways</del> , escalators and inclined moving walks need not conform to the requirements in Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.11 <del>8</del> . provided, (a) the opening for each <del>stairway,</del> <del>escalator or walk does not exceed 10 m<sup>2</sup>,</del> (b) the building is sprinklered throughout, and (c) the interconnected floor space contains only Group A, Division 1, 2 or 3, Group D or Group E <u>major</u> occupancies.	Except as permitted by Sentence (6), openings for escalators and inclined moving walks need not conform to the requirements in Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.8. provided (a) the opening for each escalator or walk does not exceed 10 m <sup>2</sup> , (b) the building is sprinklered throughout, and (c) the interconnected floor space contains only Group A, Division 1, 2 or 3, Group D or Group E major occupancies.	Exemption for openings for stairways should remain.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
3.2.8.2.(6)	3.2.8.2. Exceptions to Special Protection	An interconnected floor space need not conform to the requirements of Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.11. provided, (a) the interconnected floor space consists of the first storey and the storey next above or below it, but not both, (b) the interconnected floor space is sprinklered, and (c) the interconnected floor space contains only Group A, Division 1, 2 or	An interconnected floor space need not conform to the requirements of Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.11 <del>8</del> . <del>provided,</del> (a) <del>the interconnected floor space</del> <del>it consists of the first storey and the storey next above or below it, but not both,</del> (b) <del>the interconnected floor space</del> <del>it is sprinklered throughout or, where the building area is not more than one half of the area permitted by Subsection 3.2.2., the openings through the floor</del>	An interconnected floor space need not conform to the requirements of Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.8., provided (a) it consists of the first storey and the storey next above or below it, but not both, it is sprinklered throughout or, where the building area is not more than one half of the area permitted by Subsection 3.2.2., the openings through the floor are used only for stairways, escalators or moving walks, and	This does not read the same as the NBC. The NBC reads as follows a) it consists of... .., but not both, b) it is sprinklered throughout or, where... then c) - there is a typo whereby ",b)" is missing from the text.	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
		3, Group D, Group E, or Group F, Division 2 or 3 occupancies.	<u>are used only for stairways, escalators or moving walks, and</u> (c) <del>the interconnected floor space</del> <del>it contains only Group A, Division 1, 2 or 3, Group D, Group E, or Group F, Division 2 or 3</del> <u>major</u> occupancies.	c) it contains only Group A, Division 1, 2 or 3, Group D, Group E, or Group F, Division 2 or 3 major occupancies.			
3.2.8.3.(1)	3.2.8.3. Sprinklers	N/A	<u>A building containing an interconnected floor space shall be sprinklered throughout.</u>	A building containing an interconnected floor space shall be sprinklered throughout.	This new requirement does particularly tighten the requirements around retrofits where before one could just sprinkler the interconnected space in some instances as the wording was "...it is sprinklered throughout" it being what exactly? - Now matches the NBC	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
3.2.8.4.(1)	3.2.8.4. Vestibules	N/A	<u>An exit opening into an interconnected floor space shall be protected at each opening into the interconnected floor space by a vestibule</u> (a) <u>with doorways that are not less than 1.8 m apart,</u> (b) <u>that is separated from the remainder of the floor area by a fire separation that is not required to have a fire-resistance rating, and</u>	An exit opening into an interconnected floor space shall be protected at each opening into the interconnected floor space by a vestibule (a) with doorways that are not less than 1.8 m apart, (b) that is separated from the remainder of the floor area by a fire separation that is not required to have a fire-resistance rating, and	Need similar explanatory clause to what is in NBC	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
			<u>(c) that is designed to limit the passage of smoke so that the exit stair shaft does not contain more than 1% by volume of contaminated air from the fire floor, assuming an outdoor temperature equal to the January design temperature on a 2.5% basis determined in accordance with Subsection 1.1.2.</u>	(c) that is designed to limit the passage of smoke so that the exit stair shaft does not contain more than 1% by volume of contaminated air from the fire floor, assuming an outdoor temperature equal to the January design temperature on a 2.5% basis determined in accordance with Subsection 1.1.2.			

3.2.8.6.(1)	3.2.8.6. Draft Stops	N/A	<u>A draft stop shall be provided at each floor level within an interconnected floor space, immediately adjacent to and surrounding the opening, and shall be not less than 500 mm deep measured from ceiling level down to the underside of the draft stop.</u>	A draft stop shall be provided at each floor level within an interconnected floor space, immediately adjacent to and surrounding the opening, and shall be not less than 500 mm deep measured from ceiling level down to the underside of the draft stop.
3.2.8.10.(1)	3.2.8.10. Emergency Power Supply	(1) In a building that is more than 18 m in height, measured between grade and the floor level of the top storey, an emergency power supply capable of operating under a full load for at least 2 h shall be provided by an emergency generator or by a separate service not supplied by the same substation as the primary source for fans required for smoke control purposes in Articles 3.2.8.4., 3.2.8.5., 3.2.8.6. and 3.2.8.9.	<del>(1) In a building that is more than 18 m in height, measured between grade and the floor level of the top storey, an emergency power supply capable of operating under a full load for at least 2 h shall be provided by an emergency generator or by a separate service not supplied by the same substation as the primary source for fans required for smoke control purposes in Articles 3.2.8.4., 3.2.8.5., 3.2.8.6. and 3.2.8.9.</del>	N/A
3.2.8.11.(1)	3.2.8.11. Testing	The systems for smoke control and venting described in Articles 3.2.8.4., 3.2.8.5., 3.2.8.6. and 3.2.8.9. shall be tested to ensure satisfactory operation.	<del>The systems for smoke control and venting described in Articles 3.2.8.4., 3.2.8.5., 3.2.8.6. and 3.2.8.9. shall be tested to ensure satisfactory operation.</del>	N/A
3.4.3.2.(5.1)	3.4.3.2. Exit Width	N/A	<del>N/A The required exit width for exit stairs that serve interconnected floor space designed in accordance with Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.8. shall be cumulative, unless (a) the stairs provide not less than 0.3 m2 of area of treads and landings for each occupant of the interconnected floor space, or (b) protected floor spaces conforming to Article 3.2.8.5. are provided at each floor level and the protected floor space on a floor level has not less than 0.5 m2 of space for each occupant of that floor level of the interconnected floor space.</del>	The required exit width for exit stairs that serve interconnected floor space designed in accordance with Articles 3.2.8.3. to 3.2.8.8. shall be cumulative, unless (a) the stairs provide not less than 0.3 m2 of area of treads and landings for each occupant of the interconnected floor space, or (b) protected floor spaces conforming to Article 3.2.8.5. are provided at each floor level and the protected floor space on a floor level has not less than 0.5 m2 of space for each occupant of that floor level of the interconnected floor space.

No mention of material? Consider including combustibility of material	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
require additional background - this is not redundant with 3.2.7.9 Emergency Power Requirements as it includes fans required for smoke control purposes in Articles 3.2.8.4., 3.2.8.5., 3.2.8.6. and 3.2.8.9. Some of these have been deleted but no similar article to NBC 3.2.8.7 Mechanical Exhaust System added.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
require additional background - this is not redundant with 3.2.7.9 Emergency Power Requirements as it includes fans in 3.2.8	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
Not sure the 0.3 m2 area of tread per occupant is consistent with other areas of the code.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).

**II. MEZZANINES**

Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Existing /Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}
3.4.2.2.(1)	3.4.2.2. Mezzanine Exiting	Except as permitted by Sentences (2) to (4), a mezzanine shall be provided with exits on the same basis as required for floor areas by this Section.	Except as permitted by Sentences (2) and (3), the space above a mezzanine shall be <del>provided with</del> <del>served by means of egress leading to exits accessible at the mezzanine level on the same basis as floor areas</del> <del>by this Section.</del>	Except as permitted by Sentences (2) and (3), the space above a mezzanine shall be served by means of egress leading to exits accessible at the mezzanine level on the same basis as floor areas.
3.4.2.2.(2)	3.4.2.2. Mezzanine Exiting	A mezzanine need not conform to Sentence (1) provided Article 3.2.8.2. does not require it to terminate at a vertical fire separation.	<del>A mezzanine need not conform to Sentence (1) provided Article 3.2.8.2. does not require it to terminate at a vertical fire separation.</del>	N/A

Comments	Rank	Status
Sentence 2 seems to be removed. If sentence 2 remains and is worded to match the NBC this is supportable.	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
This clause is in the NBC but is worded slightly different - not sure why they are taking it out rather than rewording it. Looks like a mistake	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.

SOIL GAS AND RADON

Existing Ontario Code Sentence	Existing Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	Comments	Rank	Status
5.4.1.1.(1)	5.4.1.1. Required Resistance to Air Leakage	Where a building component or assembly separates interior conditioned space from exterior space, interior space from the ground, or environmentally dissimilar interior spaces, the properties and position of the materials and components in those components or assemblies shall be such that they control air leakage or permit venting to the exterior so as to, (a) provide acceptable conditions for the building occupants, (b) maintain	Where a building component or assembly separates interior conditioned space from exterior space, interior space from the ground, or environmentally dissimilar interior spaces, the properties and position of the materials and components in those components or assemblies shall be such that they control air leakage or permit venting to the exterior so as to, (a) provide acceptable conditions for the building occupants, (b) maintain	Where a building component or assembly separates interior conditioned space from exterior space, interior space from the ground, or environmentally dissimilar interior spaces, the properties and position of the materials and components in those components or assemblies shall be such that they control air leakage or permit venting to the exterior so as to, (a) provide acceptable conditions for the building occupants, (b) maintain	matches NBC. Please consider adding Radon table. Otherwise may be a requirement to mitigate something that's not there	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
		appropriate conditions for the intended use of the building, (c) minimize the accumulation of condensation in and penetration of precipitation into the building component or assembly, (d) control heat transfer to roofs where ice damming can occur, and (e) not compromise the operation of building services.	appropriate conditions for the intended use of the building, (c) minimize the accumulation of condensation in and <u>the</u> penetration of precipitation into the building component or assembly, (d) control heat transfer to roofs where ice damming can occur, <u>(e) minimize the ingress of airborne radon from the ground with an aim to controlling the indoor radon concentration to an acceptable level.</u> and <del>(e) not compromise the operation of building services</del>	appropriate conditions for the intended use of the building, (c) minimize the accumulation of condensation in and the penetration of precipitation into the building component or assembly, (d) control heat transfer to roofs where ice damming can occur, (e) minimize the ingress of airborne radon from the ground with an aim to controlling the indoor radon concentration to an acceptable level, and (f) not compromise the operation of building services.	Matches NBC. Please consider adding Radon table. Otherwise may be a requirement to mitigate something that's not there	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).

PLUMBING

7.2.1.3.(2)	7.2.1.3. Identification and Certification	Where a component of a plumbing system is required by this Code to comply with a standard and the compliance is not certified by a testing agency accredited by the Standards Council of Canada for the testing of the component in question and, when an inspector requests proof of the compliance, proof of compliance shall be produced by the person proposing to install or have installed the component, and without such proof the component shall not be installed as a permanent part of any plumbing system.	<u>Markings required in Sentence (1) shall be visible after installation.</u> <del>Where a component of a plumbing system is required by this Code to comply with a standard and the compliance is not certified by a testing agency accredited by the Standards Council of Canada for the testing of the component in question and, when an inspector requests proof of the compliance, proof of compliance shall be produced by the person proposing to install or have installed the component, and without such proof the component shall not be installed as a permanent part of any plumbing system.</del>	Markings required in Sentence (1) shall be visible after installation.	This requirement for visibility is redundant with the end of sentence 1 already.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
7.5.6.5.(4)	7.5.6.5. Terminals	Except for a fresh air inlet, where a vent pipe is terminated in open air, the terminal shall be located, (a) not less than 1 000 mm above or not less than 3.5 m in any other direction from every air inlet, openable window or door, (b) not less than 2 000 mm above or not less than 3.5 m in any other direction from a roof that supports an occupancy, and (c) not less than 2 000 mm above ground.	Except for a fresh air inlet, where a vent pipe is terminated in <del>open</del> outside air, the terminal shall be located, (a) not less than 1 000 mm above <del>or</del> and not less than 3.5 m in any other direction from every air inlet, openable window or door, (b) not less than 2 000 mm above <del>or</del> and not less than 3.5 m in any other direction from a roof that supports an occupancy, and <del>(c)</del>	Except for a fresh air inlet, where a vent pipe is terminated in outside air, the terminal shall be located, (a) not less than 1 m above and not less than 3.5 m in any other direction from every air inlet, openable window or door, (b) not less than 2 m above and not less than 3.5 m in any other direction from a roof that supports an occupancy,	Why are they leaving (c) as mm vs m ?	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
			(c) not less than 2 000 mm above ground, <u>and (d) not less than 1.8 m from every property line.</u>	(c) not less than 2 000 mm above ground, and (d) not less than 1.8 m from every property line.			

7.5.9.2.(2)	7.5.9.2. Air Admittance Valves	The air admittance valves shall be located, (a) above the flood level rim of the fixture it serves, (b) within the maximum developed length permitted for the vent, (c) not less than 150 mm above insulation materials, and (d) installed in a location not subject to back pressure.	<del>The air admittance valves shall be located, (a) not less than 100 mm above the flood level rim of the fixture it serves, (b) within the maximum developed length permitted for the vent, and (c) not less than 150 mm above insulation materials, and (d) installed in a location not subject to back pressure.</del>	Air admittance valves shall be located not less than 100 mm above the fixture drain being vented, (b) within the maximum developed length permitted for the vent, and (c) not less than 150 mm above insulation materials.
-------------	--------------------------------	--	--	--

(a) is missing	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
----------------	---	--

SECONDARY SUITES

Current/ Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Current/ Proposed Ontario Code Article Title	Existing Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Redline Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Redline Changes}
9.5.3.1.(2)	9.5.3.1. Ceiling Heights of Rooms or Spaces	Areas in rooms or spaces over which ceiling height is not less than the minimum specified in Table 9.5.3.1.	Areas in rooms or spaces over which ceiling height <del>is and clear height are not less than the minimum specified in</del>	Areas in rooms or spaces over which ceiling height and clear height are not less than the minimum specified in
		shall be contiguous with the entry or entries to those rooms or spaces.	Table 9.5.3.1. <del>or Sentence (2) or (3)</del> shall be contiguous with the entry or entries to those rooms or spaces.	Table 9.5.3.1. or Sentence (2) or (3) shall be contiguous with the entry or entries to those rooms or spaces.
9.8.9.6.(2)	9.8.9.6. Finish for Treads, Landings and Ramps (See Appendix A)	The finish for treads, landings and ramps within a house or an individual dwelling unit, including those from an attached garage serving a house or an individual dwelling unit, shall be deemed to comply with Sentence (1) where these treads, landings or ramps are finished with, (a) hardwood, (b) vertical grain softwood, (c) resilient flooring, (d) low-pile carpet, (e) mat finish ceramic	The finish for treads, <del>and landings and ramps within a house or an individual dwelling unit, including those from an attached garage serving a house or an individual dwelling unit,</del> <del>other than stairs to unfinished basements, shall be deemed to comply with Sentence (1) where these treads, landings or ramps are finished with,</del> (a) consist of hardwood, (b) vertical	The finish for treads and landings of interior stairs in dwelling units, other than stairs to unfinished basements, shall consist of hardwood, vertical grain softwood, resilient flooring or other material providing equivalent performance.
		tile, (f) concrete, or (g) for stairs to unfinished basements and to garages, plywood.	grain softwood, <del>(c) resilient flooring, (d) low-pile carpet, (e) mat finish ceramic tile, (f) concrete, or (g) for stairs to unfinished basements and to garages, plywood, or other material providing equivalent performance.</del>	
9.10.14.4.(7)	9.10.14.4. Openings in Exposing Building Face	The limits on the area of unprotected openings need not apply to the exposing building face of a detached garage or accessory building facing a house, where, (a) the detached garage or accessory building serves the house or an individual dwelling unit in the house, (b) the detached garage or accessory building is located on the same property as the house, and (c) the house is the only major occupancy on the property.	The limits on the area of <del>unprotected</del> glazed openings <del>stated in Sentence (10)</del> need not apply to the exposing building face of a detached garage or accessory building facing a house dwelling unit, where, (a) the detached garage or accessory building serves <del>the house or an individual dwelling unit in the house,</del> (b) the detached garage or accessory building is located on the same property as <del>the house that dwelling unit, and (c) the house is dwelling unit served by the detached garage or accessory building is the only major occupancy on the property.</del>	The limits on the area of glazed openings <del>stated in Sentence (10)</del> need not apply to the exposing building face of a detached garage or accessory building facing a dwelling unit, where (a) the detached garage or accessory building serves only one dwelling unit, (b) the detached garage or accessory building is located on the same property as that dwelling unit, and (c) the dwelling unit served by the detached garage or accessory building is the only major occupancy on the property.
9.10.19.1.(1)	9.10.19.1. Required Smoke Alarms (See Appendix A.)	Except as permitted in Article 9.10.19.8., smoke alarms conforming to CAN/ULC- S531, "Smoke Alarms", shall be installed in, (a) each dwelling unit,	Except as permitted <del>in by Article</del> 9.10.19.8., smoke alarms conforming to <u>ULC</u> CAN/ULC-S531, "Smoke Alarms", <del>shall be installed in,</del> (a) each	Except as permitted by Article 9.10.19.8., smoke alarms conforming to ULC CAN/ULC-S531, "Smoke Alarms," shall be installed in (a) each dwelling
		each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit, and (c) each interior shared means of egress and common area in a house.	dwelling unit, (b) each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit, and (c) <del>each interior shared means of egress ancillary spaces and common areas spaces not in dwelling units in a house. with a secondary suite.</del>	unit, (b) each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit, and (c) ancillary spaces and common spaces not in dwelling units in a house with a secondary suite.

Comments	Rank	Status
Changes are fine. "Area in rooms or spaces... shall be contiguous with the entry..." seems to change the meaning of the sentence, which is unrelated to ceiling height.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).
Do not agree with the deletion of specific finish materials. Provide justification.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
Recommend not to replace "unprotected openings" with "glazed openings" because "unprotected openings" is referenced throughout this Article. "stated in Sentence (10)" is removed, but it's not in the original sentence.	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
Why adding "ULC" in front of "CAN/ULC-S531"?	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

9.10.19.1.(1)	9.10.19.1. Required Smoke Alarms	Except as permitted in Article 9.10.19.8., smoke alarms conforming to CAN/ULC- S531, "Smoke Alarms", shall be installed in,(a) each dwelling unit, (b) each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit, and (c) each interior shared means of egress and common area in a house.	Except as permitted <del>in by Article 9.10.19.8.,</del> smoke alarms conforming to <u>ULC CAN/ULC-S531</u> , "Smoke Alarms", shall be installed in,(a) each dwelling unit, (b) each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit, and (c) <del>each interior shared means of egress ancillary spaces and common areas</del> <u>spaces not in dwelling units in a house. <del>with a secondary suite.</del></u>	Except as permitted by Article 9.10.19.8., smoke alarms conforming to ULC CAN/ULC-S531, "Smoke Alarms," shall be installed in (a) each dwelling unit, (b) each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit, and (c) ancillary spaces and common spaces not in dwelling units in a house with a secondary suite.
9.19.2.1.(1)	9.19.2.1. Access	Every attic or roof space shall be provided with an access hatch where the attic or roof space, (a) measures not less than, (i) 10 m <sup>2</sup> in area, (ii) 1 000 mm in length or width, and (iii) 600 mm in height over at least the area described	Every attic or roof space shall be provided with an access hatch where the <u>open space in the attic</u> or roof space, <del>(a) measures not less than, (i) 10 a) 3 m<sup>2</sup> or more in area,</del> (ii) 1 000 mm in length or width, and (iii) 600 mm in height over at least the area described	Every attic or roof space shall be provided with an access hatch where the open space in the attic or roof space measures (a) 3 m <sup>2</sup> or more in area, (b) 1 m or more in length or width, and (c) 600 mm in height over at least the area described
9.33.1.1.(1)	9.33.1.1. Design and Installation Requirements	The design and installation of central heating systems, including requirements for combustion air, shall conform to Part 6 and this Section.	The design and installation of <del>central heating systems, including requirements for combustion air, and air conditioning</del> <u>Sentence (1)</u> shall conform to Part 6	The design and installation of heating systems, including requirements for combustion air, and air-conditioning systems other than those described in Sentence (1) shall conform to Part 6.

systems other than those described in

9.33.3.1.(1)	9.33.3.1. Indoor Design Temperatures	At the outside design temperature, required heating facilities shall be capable of maintaining an indoor air temperature of not less than,(a) 22 °C in all living spaces, (b) 22 °C in unfinished basements, and (c) 15 °C in heated crawl spaces.	At the outside <u>winter</u> design temperature, required heating facilities shall be capable of maintaining an indoor air temperature of not less than,(a) 22 °C in all living spaces, (b) <del>22-18</del> 18°C in unfinished basements, (c) <u>18°C in common service rooms, ancillary spaces and</u> <del>(c) exits in houses with a secondary suite, and (d) 15 °C in heated crawl spaces.</del>	At the outside winter design temperature, required heating facilities shall be capable of maintaining an indoor air temperature of not less than (a) 22°C in all living spaces, (b) 18°C in unfinished basements, (c) 18°C in common service rooms, ancillary spaces and exits in houses with a secondary suite, and (d) 15°C in heated crawl spaces.
--------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	---

STANDPIPE SYSTEMS

Current Ontario Code Sentence	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Redline Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Redline Changes}
9.10.1.3.(9)	9.10.1.3. Items Under Part 3 Jurisdiction	Standpipe and hose systems shall be designed, constructed and installed in conformance with Article 3.2.5.18. and Subsection 3.2.9.	Standpipe and hose systems shall be designed, constructed and installed in conformance with <del>Article 3.2.9.1-18. to 3.2.9.4. and Subsection 3.2.9.5-18.</del>	Standpipe and hose systems shall be designed, constructed and installed in conformance with Articles 3.2.9.1 to 3.2.9.4. and 3.2.5.18.

FIRE SPRINKLERS, FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS AND CARBON MONOXIDE ALARMS

Current Ontario Code Sentence	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Redline Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Redline Changes}
9.10.19.3.(7)	9.10.19.3. Location of Smoke Alarms	Smoke alarms required in Sentences (1) and (2) shall be installed on or near the ceiling.	Smoke alarms required in <u>Article 9.10.19.1. and</u> Sentences (1) and (2) shall be installed on or near the ceiling.	Smoke alarms required in Article 9.10.19.1. and Sentences (1) and (2) shall be installed on or near the ceiling.

PLUMBING

Current Ontario Code Sentence	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Redline Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Redline Changes}
9.31.2.1.(1)	9.31.2.1. General	The construction of plumbing systems shall conform to Part 7.	The construction, <u>extension, alteration, renewal or repair</u> of plumbing systems <u>and sewage disposal systems</u> shall conform to Part 7.	The construction, extension, alteration, renewal or repair of plumbing systems and sewage disposal systems shall conform to Part 7.

MEZZANINES

Why adding "ULC" in front of "CAN/ULC-S531"?	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
--	---	--

Reduction in area from 10 to 3 square metres is arbitrary.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
--	---	--

The exception references to itself.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
-------------------------------------	---	--

Do not support changing temperature for unfinished basement from 22C to 18C because unfinished basement often gets converted to finished basement or secondary suites. Heating facilities should be capable of supporting this conversion for potential living spaces.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
--	---	--

Comments	Rank	Status
This should reference 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.18. Sentences 3.2.9.1. - 3.2.9.7 have been moved or deleted. See Rows 102 - 139	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

Comments	Rank	Status
Smoke alarms installed on or near ceiling, yet in other parts carbon monoxide alarms must be mechanically fixed to ceiling ?	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).

Comments	Rank	Status
Only issue is that every plumbing revision of any nature requires a building permit. Sewage disposal Part 8 ?	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.

Current /Proposed Ontario Code Sentence	Current Ontario Code Article Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Redline Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Redline Changes}	Comments	Rank	Status
9.10.4.1.(2)	9.10.4.1. Mezzanines Not Considered as Storeys	Mezzanines shall not be considered as storeys for the purpose of determining building height where they occupy an aggregate area not exceeding 40% of the area of the room or the storey in which they are located provided the space above the mezzanine floor has no visual obstructions more than 1 070 mm above such floors.	<del>Mezzanines shall not be considered as storeys for the purpose of determining building height where they occupy an aggregate area not exceeding 40% of the open area of the room or the storey in which they are located, provided the enclosed space does not obstruct visual communication between the open space above the mezzanine floor has no visual obstructions more than 1 070 mm above such floors and the room in which it is located.</del>	The space above a mezzanine conforming to Sentence (2) is permitted to include an enclosed space whose area does not exceed 10% of the open area of the room in which the mezzanine is located, provided the enclosed space does not obstruct visual communication between the open space above the mezzanine and the room in which it is located.	Existing 9.10.4.1.(2) describes 40% mezzanines. Is OBC deleting the 40% mezzanines?	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.

# TABLE 3: LOW-IMPACT CODE CHANGES

The table below lists approximately 150 Building Code change proposals that have been identified as Low-Impact Existing Variations. Making changes to these requirements in Ontario's Building Code would support Ontario's commitment to increase cross-country Building Code harmonization and better reflect the language and approach of the National Construction Codes. The nature of the proposed changes are straightforward and address issues such as: providing additional clarity, or removing duplication of requirements that are already addressed in referenced standards. The proposed changes are expected to have minimal impact on building design and construction practices.

The first three columns of the tables list Ontario's current Building Code requirements, while the fourth column is a "red-lined" version of the proposed changes, comparing the text of the current Ontario requirements with the proposed requirement based on the corresponding National Construction Code requirements. The red strikethroughs indicate text that is being deleted and the green underlines indicate new text that is being added into the requirements. The fifth column lists the proposed requirements shown in the fourth column in plain text.

It is important to note that these tables contain only the Sentences that are proposed to be changed, all other Sentences will remain unchanged. Furthermore, only the changes captured in the fifth column will be proceeding and all cross-references maintained, unless otherwise marked in the fourth and fifth columns. This may result in Ontario's Articles and Sentences numbering to remain different, though the requirements themselves may be the same.

Please provide your comments and feedback using the following link: <https://forms.office.com/r/2tgFLg9WFQ>. If you wish to submit any attachments in support of your comments, please email [buildingcode.consultation@ontario.ca](mailto:buildingcode.consultation@ontario.ca) with the Sentence or Article in the subject area of the email.

## Div. A Compliance, Objectives and Functional Statements

### Part 1 Compliance and General

## Div. B Acceptable Solution

### Part 3 Fire Protection, Occupant Safety and Accessibility

### Part 4 Structural Design

### Part 5 Environmental Separation

### Part 6 Heating, Ventilating and Air-Conditioning

### Part 7 Plumbing

### Part 9 Housing and Small Buildings

**Note for MMAH:**  
 Unless otherwise specified, the proposed codes changes under "Ontario-Only Building Code Changes" were accepted "as-is" or where the OAA had "no comments" were deleted from the list. What remains are the area where OAA had questions or comments.

2

13

Current Ontario Code Sentence	Ontario Code Section Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	OAA Comments	Rank	Status
N/A	Section 1.1. Organization and Application	N/A	<u>Parts 1, 2 and 3 of Division A apply to all buildings covered in this Code.</u>	Parts 1, 2 and 3 of Division A apply to all buildings covered in this Code.	The proposed changed is deemed unnecessary.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
N/A	Section 1.1. Organization and Application	N/A	<u>Division C apply to all buildings covered in this Code.</u>	Division C apply to all buildings covered in this Code.	The proposed changed is deemed unnecessary.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
3.4.2.3.(1)	3.4.2.3. Distance Between Exits	Except as provided in Sentence (2), the least distance between two required exits from a floor area shall be, (a) one-half the maximum diagonal dimension of the floor area, but need not be more than 9 m for a floor area having a public corridor, or (b) one-half the maximum diagonal dimension of the floor area, but not less than 9 m for all other floor areas.	Except as provided in Sentence (2), the least distance between <del>two required</del> two exits from a floor area shall be, (a) one-half the maximum diagonal dimension of the floor area, but need not be more than 9 m for a floor area having a public corridor, or (b) one-half the maximum diagonal dimension of the floor area, but not less than 9 m for all other floor areas.	Except as provided in Sentence 2), the least distance between two exits from a floor area shall be (a) one half the maximum diagonal dimension of the floor area, but need not be more than 9 m for a floor area having a public corridor, or (b) one half the maximum diagonal dimension of the floor area, but not less than 9 m for all other floor areas.	If a floor area only requires ONE exit but a second door is provided as a convenience, would it be considered an exit and then would it required to be separated? The spacing should only apply to REQUIRED exits.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.

Current Ontario Code Sentence	Ontario Code Section Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}	OAA Comments	Rank	Status
9.8.1.1.(1)	9.8.1.1. General	This Section applies to the design and construction of interior and exterior stairs, steps, ramps, landings, handrails and guards.	This Section applies to the design and construction of interior and exterior stairs, steps, ramps, <b>landings</b> , handrails and guards.	This Section applies to the design and construction of interior and exterior stairs, steps, ramps, handrails and guards.	Removal of "landings" causes inconsistency with other parts of this Section. "Landings" is referenced in 9.8 title, 9.8.1.2, 9.8.1.3. There is a note in OBC: "On January 1, 2022, Sentence 9.8.1.1(1) of Division B of the Regulation is amended by adding "landings" after "ramps". (See: O.Reg. 88/18, s 195)"	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
9.8.7.4.(2)	9.8.7.4. Height of Handrails	Except as provided in Sentence (3), Clause 3.8.3.4.(1)(e) and Sentence 9.8.4.5A.(1), handrails shall be 865 mm to 1 070 mm high.	Except as provided in Sentence (3) and Clause 3.8.3.4.(1)(e) <b>required</b> and <b>Sentence 9.8.4.5A.(1)</b> , handrails shall be 865 mm to 1 070 mm high.	Except as provided in Sentence (3) and Clause 3.8.3.4.(1)(e) required handrails shall be 865 mm to 1 070 mm high.	Crosssing out a new amendment in OBC. 9.8.4.5A. Spiral Stairs was added, effective on January 1, 2022. It has specific requirement on handrail height.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
9.10.16.1.(1)	9.10.16.1. Required Fire Blocks in Concealed Spaces	Concealed spaces in interior walls, ceilings, floors and crawl spaces shall be separated by fire blocks from concealed spaces in exterior walls and attic or roof spaces.	<u>Vertical</u> concealed spaces in interior walls and exterior walls shall be separated by fire blocks (a) one from the other, and (b) from horizontal concealed spaces. And horizontal concealed spaces in attics, roof spaces, ceilings, floors, and crawl spaces shall be separated by fire blocks (a) one from the other, and (b) from vertical concealed spaces.	Vertical concealed spaces in interior walls and exterior walls shall be separated by fire blocks (a) one from the other, and (b) from horizontal concealed spaces. And horizontal concealed spaces in attics, roof spaces, ceilings, floors, and crawl spaces shall be separated by fire blocks (a) one from the other, and (b) from vertical concealed spaces.	Recommend breaking up the sentence into two sentences as in NBC for clarity. 1) Vertical concealed spaces... 2) Horizontal concealed spaces...	3	I support this proposed change with modification(s).
9.14.2.1.(1)	9.14.2.1. Foundation Wall Drainage	Unless it can be shown to be unnecessary, drainage shall be provided at the bottom of every foundation wall that contains the building interior.	Unless it can be shown to be unnecessary, <del>drainage shall be provided at the bottom of every exterior foundation wall that contains</del> shall be drained by drainage tile or pipe laid around the building interior exterior of the foundation in conformance with Subsection 9.14.3. or by a layer of gravel or crushed rock in conformance with Subsection 9.14.4.	Unless it can be shown to be unnecessary, the bottom of every exterior foundation wall shall be drained by drainage tile or pipe laid around the exterior of the foundation in conformance with Subsection 9.14.3. or by a layer of gravel or crushed rock in conformance with Subsection 9.14.4.	Is it the intent of the Code to permit granular drainage layer in lieu of drainage tile?	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
9.27.13.3.(1)	9.27.13.3. Design and Installation	The design of an exterior insulation finish system shall comply with CAN/ULC-S716.3, "Exterior Insulation and Finish Systems (EIFS) ," Design Application".	The design and installation of <del>an exterior insulation finish system</del> EIFS on the substrate described in Sentence 9.27.13.2.(2) shall comply with a) CAN/ULC-S716.3, "2. Exterior Insulation and Finish Systems (EIFS) ," Design Application"; and b) CAN/ULC-S716.3, "Exterior Insulation and Finish System (EIFS) ," Design Application".	The design and installation of EIFS on the substrate described in Sentence 9.27.13.2.(2) shall comply with a) CAN/ULC-S716.2, "Exterior Insulation and Finish Systems (EIFS) - Installation of EIFS Components and Water Resistive Barrier," and b) CAN/ULC-S716.3, "Exterior Insulation and Finish System (EIFS) - Design Application".	Addition of "on the substrate described in Sentence 9.27.13.2.(2)". It can be interpreted as EIFS without substrate per 9.27.13.2.(2) does not need to be comply with CAN/ULC-S716.3. Is this the intent of the change?	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.



Current Ontario Code Sentence	Ontario Code Section Title	Current Ontario Code Provision	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {with the Tracked Changes}	Proposed New Ontario Code Provision {without the Tracked Changes}
9.32.3.11.(10)	9.32.3.11. Heat Recovery Ventilators	When operating at the rate required in Article 9.32.3.4., the supply and exhaust airflow rates of the heat recovery ventilator shall be balanced so that the value of the lesser flow shall be at least 90% of the value of the greater flow, unless otherwise recommended by the manufacturer.	<del>When the principal ventilation fan operating at the rate required in Article 9.32.3.4., the supply and its normal operating exhaust capacity, the airflow rate of the heat recovery ventilator outdoor air supply duct shall be balanced so that the value of the lesser measured and the flow regulating damper required by Sentence 9.32.3.13.(2) shall be at least 90% of the airflow in the value outdoor air supply duct is within ±10% of the greater flow, unless otherwise recommended by the manufacturer.</del> When the principal ventilation fan operating at its actual normal operating exhaust capacity of the principal ventilation fan, they are installed shall indicate the position of the damper.	With the principal ventilation fan operating at its normal operating exhaust capacity, the airflow in the outdoor air supply duct shall be measured and the flow-regulating damper required by Sentence 9.32.3.13.(2) shall be adjusted and permanently fixed so that the airflow in the outdoor air supply duct is within ±10% of the actual normal operating exhaust capacity of the principal ventilation fan.
9.33.4.1.(1)	9.33.4.1. Application	This Subsection applies to every building that, (a) contains a residential occupancy, and (b) contains a fuel-burning appliance or a storage garage.	This Subsection applies to every building that, <del>(a) contains a residential occupancy, and (b) that also contains (a) a fuel-burning appliance, or (b) a storage garage.</del>	This Subsection applies to every building that contains a residential occupancy and that also contains (a) a fuel-burning appliance, or (b) a storage garage.
9.34.2.7.(1)	9.34.2.7. Public and Service Areas	Every public or service area in buildings, including a recreational camp and a camp for housing of workers, shall have lighting outlets with fixtures controlled by a wall switch or panel to illuminate such areas.	Every public or service area in buildings, <del>including a recreational camp and a camp for housing of workers, shall have</del> be provided with lighting outlets with fixtures controlled by a wall switch or panel to illuminate every portion of such areas.	Every public or service area in buildings shall be provided with lighting outlets with fixtures controlled by a wall switch or panel to illuminate every portion of such areas.
9.35.3.1.(2)	9.35.3.1. Foundation Required	Detached garages of less than 55 m2 floor area and not more than 1 storey in height that are not of masonry or masonry veneer construction are permitted to be supported on, (a) wood mud sills, or (b) a concrete floor slab having a minimum thickness of not less than 100 mm.	Detached garages of less than 55 m2 floor area and not more than 1 storey in height that are not of masonry or masonry veneer construction are permitted to be supported on <del>(a) wood mud sills, or (b) a 100 mm thick concrete floor slab having a minimum thickness of not less than 100 mm.</del>	Detached garages of less than 55 m2 floor area and not more than 1 storey in height that are not of masonry or masonry veneer construction are permitted to be supported on (a) wood mud sills, or (b) a 100 mm thick concrete floor slab.

OAA Comments	Rank	Status
9.32.3.13.(2) is for installation requirement, not for when damper is required. Is the airflow intended to be within 10% or +/- 10%?	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
Changes made here is different from changes made under A Ontario-Only Building Code Changes (row 86, 87)	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
What is the intent of deleting "recreational camp and a camp for housing of workers"? These camps are referenced in Table 9.34.2.7. Lighting for Public Areas.	4	I do not support this proposed change for the reason(s) stated to the right.
The change seems unnecessary.	2	I support this proposed change as is with comment(s).